	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/050,908	KHANDROS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J Feely	1712
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael J Feely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>N. Kenneth Burraston</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 January 2004	Time: approx. 2:00 pm	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-12,30-39,47,48,55,60-64		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
malon		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner faxed a set of proposed changes to put the case in condition for allowaces. The issued promting this proposal were discussed on 1/4/04. After discussing the proposal with the Applicants, Mr. Burraston called on 1/8/04 to approve the proposal. A copy of the fax is attached.